UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,961	03/23/2004	Greg Marik	31132.195	2351	
46333 HAYNES AND	7590 11/03/200 D BOONE, LLP	EXAMINER			
IP Section		MILLER, CHERYL L			
2323 Victory Avenue Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75219			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/806,961	MARIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHERYL MILLER	3738	

	CHERYL MILLER	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliance	rit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailinon). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIANA. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	the date of Classical Section	(
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12		iected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	ompliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		on phane value and the control of th	. 02 02 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7,9,11,14-18,24-26 and 29-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	hefore or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attache	∍d.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738	/Cheryl Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 373	3				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amendment includes new limitation including sliding articulation and non-deformable surfaces. Also new claims are present all of which are new issues that require further consideration and search.